

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE,
INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN
SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS
OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Detroit District June 30, 2005

FILE NUMBER: 05-150-008-0

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: **In the office** Y **Date:** June 30, 2005
(Y/N)

At the project N **Date:**
site (Y/N)

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Indiana

County: Marshall

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude lat: 41-22-43.6800
 coordinates: lon: 86-16-39.1440

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres 0.57 acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Wetlands

Type of Aquatic Resource ¹ :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Feet	Unkno wn
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					X
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.					

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: **Preliminary** **Or Approved X**

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Existing pipeline runs through what appears to be a forested area. Applicant proposes to perform maintenance measures to the pipeline where impacts would be minimal.

A cluster of wetlands have been identified in the immediate surrounding area; however, there is no apparent connection to other waters of the U.S. the site has been determined to be isolated.